Interview Summary

Application No. 09/381,328

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Vip Patel

Group Art Unit

2879

Kunhardt et al

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	personnel):
(1) Vip Patel	(3)
(2) Michael R. Friscia	(4)
Date of Interview Oct 24, 2001	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e)⊠ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general rany other comments: In the telephone conversation, it was pointed out that claims	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
warrenting double patenting rejections. The applicant indica receiving an action.	ted that amendment will be filed correcting the claims upon
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	py of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPER already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	' section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.